

August 16, 2023 Meeting Agenda

Meeting Objectives:

	 Learn about the methods for evaluating surface water availability and the basin water quantity model (SWAM) 	Saluda River
	 Visit the Laurens County Water and Sewer Commission Water Treatment 	Plant
1.	Call the Meeting to Order (K.C. Price) a. Review of Meeting Objectives b. Approval of Agenda c. Approval of July 19 th Minutes and Summary d. Housekeeping Items and Announcements	10:00–10:10
2.	Public ¹ and Agency Comment (John Boyer)	10:10-10:15
3.	July RBC Meeting Review (John Boyer)	10:15–10:30
4.	Hydrology 101 (Kirk Westphal, CDM Smith)	10:30–10:45
5.	Methodologies for Evaluating Water Availability (Scott Harder, SCDNR)	10:45–11:15
	Break	11:15–11:25
6.	Introduction to the SWAM Model (John Boyer)	11:25–11:45
7.	Demand Projections Update (Alex Pellett, SCDNR)	11:45–11:55
8.	Upcoming Meeting Schedule and Topics (John Boyer)	11:55–12:00
	Lunch	12:00–12:30
9.	Visit to LCWSC Water Treatment Plant	12:30-2:00

Quorum Determination Review Meeting Objectives 1. Learn about the method

1. Learn about the methods for evaluating surface water availability and the Saluda River basin water quantity model (SWAM)

Agenda Item 2

2. Visit the Laurens County Water and Sewer Commission Water Treatment Plant

Approval of Agenda

Approval of July 19th Meeting Minutes and Summary

Saluda River Basin Council

Meeting #6 August 16, 2023

Housekeeping Items

New RBC Member (Pending PPAC Approval)

Kaleigh Simms

Regulatory Services Manager Renewable Water Resources

Water and Sewer Utilities interest category





Public Comment

Agenda Item 2



July RBC Meeting Review

Agenda Item 3

Water Use and Water Demands – Alex Pellett, SCDNR



Surface Water Withdrawals by Categories (2011-2022)





Middle and Lower Saluda Scenic Rivers – Bill Marshall, SCDNR

Purpose -- S.C. Scenic Rivers Act:

• Protect unique, outstanding resource values of S.C. rivers -- scenic, recreational, geologic, botanical, fish, wildlife, historic, and cultural

Approach:

- Non-regulatory. Community-based partnerships for river conservation & stewardship
- Advisory councils & management plans

Lower Saluda Resource Protection Interests:

- Protection of riparian lands, habitat
- Protect, enhance recreational fishery
- Enhance instream flows
- Reduce, eliminate pollution sources





Prepared by the SOUTH CAROLINA DESIGN ARTS PARTNERSHIP Clemson, South Carolina

For the LOWER SALUDA SCENIC RIVER ADVISORY COUNCIL and the SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES December 2000

FERC Licensing of Hydroelectric Projects – Elizabeth Miller, SCDNR



SCDNR Interests & Objectives in Hydro Project Licensing

- Recognize the river and reservoir as important public trust resources
- Manage the project to achieve public benefits
- Maintain & enhance water quality to meet State standards
- Provide downstream flows consistent with the State Water Plan
- Establish a Drought Plan or Low-inflow Protocol
- Protect & enhance fish and wildlife populations and habitats
- Protect & enhance public opportunity for outdoor recreation
- Prevent impairment of water uses by invasive, exotic aquatic plants
- Improve recreational safety at the project
- Protect cultural & historic resources

FERC Licensing of Hydroelectric Projects – Elizabeth Miller, SCDNR

A start and a start and a start a star	Project	Term	Operation
A Contraction of the second se	- 6. Piedmont	2020-2060	Run of river
	- 5. Upper Pelzer	2020-2060	Run of river
Hartwell John State	4. Lower Pelzer	2020-2060	Run of River
Bichard B Russell 2 Take Murray	- 3. Ware Shoals	2002-2032	Modified Run of River
J Strom Thurmond	2. Buzzards Roost	1995-2035	Seasonal Flows
	1. Saluda	Relicensing	STB Releases Minimum Flows Low Inflow Pr. Other measures

Greenville Water Reservoir Release Criteria- Jeff Boss

Table Rock Reservoir

Storage volume of 9.52 billion gallons 9,00 Acres

North Saluda Reservoir

Storage volume of 25 billion gallons 18,000 acres

Priorities are to:

Maintain an adequate drinking water supply for Greenville Water customers

Balance this with protecting the downstream environmental habitats and other stakeholders

Manage releases to stay within a tight window at both reservoirs in order to create a buffer for excess rainfall and maintain adequate drinking water supply Keep water from going over spillway, which enables deep, cold water releases

Lake Greenwood Management – Julie Davis, Greenwood Co.

County Lake Management Responsibilities:

- encroachment permits and inspections
- lake log removal
- mosquito spraying
- aquatic weed management
- cultural resources management plan
- public boat ramp and access maintenance
- island camping
- maintenance of earthen dam
- homeowner education





- 11,400 acres
- 212 miles of shoreline
- Borders 3 counties



Lake Murray Management – Brandon McCartha, Dominion Energy

Lake Management Responsibilities:

- Shoreline Management Plan
- Shoreline permitting and inspections
 - Docks, boat lifts, ramps, irrigation water withdrawals, brushing, erosion control, excavations, and geothermal



- 48,239 acres
- 650 miles of shoreline
- 763 billion gallons





"Hydrology 101" Fundamentals of Surface Water Hydrology and Hydrologic Data Kirk Westphal, CDM Smith

Agenda Item 4

Purpose of this information

- For the next 12 months, you will be viewing a lot of hydrologic data in various formats, and for many purposes
- Other RBCs have noted that a brief introduction to hydrologic information would be helpful
- We can refer back to this information at any time throughout the process





cycle shapes landscapes, transports minerals, and is essential to most life and ecosystems on the planet.

comes back out as geysers or volcanic steam

Functions of

Slope

Soils

Land Use

Measuring Hydrologic Data

waterdata.usgs.gov





Displaying Hydrologic Data:

Basic Streamflow Hydrograph



Daily vs. Monthly Flow



Visualizing Small Differences: Log Scale



Displaying Hydrologic Data: Flow Exceedance Curve / Flow Duration Curve



River flow is higher than 300 cfs 15 % of the time

Frequency and Magnitude of Shortage



In this generic	example,	the freq	luency	' that riv	er flow
is less than the	withdraw	al targe	t is diff	icult to	count.

The answer is different with monthly vs. daily data.

(Note that this example does not include storage)

User Type	Source Water	Location (mi)	Average Annual Demand (MGD)	Minimum Physically Available Flow (MGD)	Average Groundwater Pumping (MGD)	Minimum Reservoir Storage (%)	Average Shortag e (MGD)	Maximu m Shortag e (MGD)	Frequency of Shortage (%)
M&I water user	Mainstem	6	9	152	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Mainstem	41	7	232	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Mainstem	52	1	231	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Mainstem	52	3	230	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Mainstem	78	1,994	401	0	0%	300.0	2,640.1	31.6%
Ag water user	Mainstem	101	0	346	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Mainstem	105	67	358	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Cherokee Creek	2	26	0	0	0%	0.2	27.8	1.3%
M&I water user	North Pacolet River	1	1	1	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	North Pacolet River	2	0	0	0	100%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	North Pacolet River	22	11	18	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Lawsons Fork Creek	21	0	23	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
Ag water user	Pacolet River	1	0	3	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Pacolet River	6	0	7	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Pacolet River	18	64	0	0	0%	0.1	36.7	0.4%
M&I water user	Pacolet River	42	0	41	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Turkey Creek	1	5	0	0	0%	0.9	5.6	31.1%
Ag water user	Middle Tyger River	11	0	4	0	0%	0.0	0.0	0.0%
M&I water user	Middle Tyger River	22	26	9	0	0%	0.1	18.3	0.6%
M&I water user	South Tyger River	11	23	1	0	0%	0.5	17.9	7.4%

You will have the benefit of summary tables that can be developed for daily and monthly data.

Important Hydrologic Statistics

• **7Q10:** Low flow metric, representing the lowest 7day average flow that occurs once every 10 years.

Median Monthly Flow:

Median value of all monthly average flows for a given month (Jan illustrated by blue dots):

• Half the points higher, half lower

Mean Monthly Flow:

Average value of all monthly average flows for a given month (Jan illustrated by blue dots)

 Usually higher than the median, since high points "stretch" the average.



Mean and median estimated visually

Water Availability

Direct River Withdrawal



Water is limited to the flow in the stream at any point in time

Reservoir Withdrawal



New View of the Hydrologic Cycle



Methodologies For

Evaluating Water Availability

Saluda River Basin Council – Meeting #6, August 16, 2023

Scott Harder

Hydrology Section Chief

SC Department of Natural Resources



Methods for Evaluating Water Availability

- Formal approach described in Planning Framework (Section 4).
- Based, in part, on methodologies used in Texas for evaluating water availability.
- Provides consistency designates a common set of definitions and processes to use across the State.



Big Picture – this is a gap analysis; the RBC will be determining where and when demand exceeds supply under varying demand scenarios and deciding how to manage water to close the gaps.

Methods for Evaluating Water Availability

Definitions:

- Physically Available Surface Water Supply maximum amount of water occurring 100% of the time at a location on a surface water body, with no defined conditions applied on the surface water body.
- Surface Water Condition a physical limitation on the amount of water that can be withdrawn from a surface water source and is independent of water demand.
- Surface Water Supply maximum amount of water available for withdrawal 100% of the time at a location on a surface water body without violating any applied Surface Water Conditions on the surface water source and considering upstream demands.
- Surface Water Shortage occurs when the water demand exceeds the Surface Water Supply for any water user in the basin.
- Reach of Interest a specific stream reach that has no identified Surface Water Shortage but experiences undesired impacts, environmental or otherwise, determined from current or future water-demand scenarios or proposed water management strategies.

Example – Reedy River at Fork Shoals 🍝



Surface water volumes highlighted in the following hydrographs are for illustrative purposes only.

Physically Available Surface Water Supply

Maximum amount of water occurring 100% of the time at a location on a surface water body, with no defined conditions applied on the surface water body.



Surface Water Conditions

Conditions which physically limit the amount of water that can be withdrawn from a surface water source and are independent of water demand.



Surface Water Supply

Maximum amount of water available for withdrawal 100% of the time at a location on a surface water body without violating any applied Surface Water Conditions on the surface water source and considering upstream demands.



Increased Demand Reduces Physically Available Surface Water Supply



--- Current Demand —— 50-Year Projected Demand, Example 1 32

Increased Demand Reduces Surface Water Supply



--- Current Demand —— 50-Year Projected Demand, Example 1 33

Surface Water Shortage

Occurs when the water demand exceeds the Surface Water Supply for any water user in the basin.



Surface Water Shortage

Occurs when the water demand exceeds the Surface Water Supply for any water user in the basin.



--- Current Demand _____ 50-Year Projected Demand, Example 3 35

Reach of Interest

A specific stream reach that has no identified Surface Water Shortage but experiences undesired impacts, environmental or otherwise, determined from current or future waterdemand scenarios or proposed water management strategies.



Current Demand — 50-Year Projected Demand, Example 4
Reservoir Safe Yield

- Defined as "the Surface Water Supply for a reservoir or system of reservoirs over the simulated hydrologic period of record".
- Reservoir Safe Yield computations subject to requirements listed in Section 4.3.4 of Planning Framework:
 - Based on shallowest intake (Surface Water Condition) for an essential water use.
 - Based on current reservoir operating rules.
 - Should consider any historical safe yield studies.
- Reservoir Safe Yield should be estimated for Lake Greenwood and Lake Murray.
 - Estimates for smaller reservoirs may considered as well but will depend on available streamflow gage data.



Performance Measures

To facilitate analyses, RBCs may also:

- Develop Performance Measures quantitative measures of change in user-defined conditions used to assess the performance of a proposed water management strategy or combination of strategies or to compare two water use scenarios.
 - % Change in monthly minimum flow or 5th percentile flow.
 - % Change in Surface Water Supply.
 - % Change in number and/or magnitude of Surface Water Shortages.
 - Impacts on Regulatory Minimum Instream Flow (20-30-40% MDF).



Performance Measures – 20/30/40 Example

- SCDNR Instream flow policy:
 - Based on studies completed in the 1980s by Water Resources Commission and updated by SCDNR in 2009.
 - Coastal Plain:
 - 20% Mean Daily Flow (MDF): July November
 - 40% MDF: May, June, December
 - 60% MDF: January April
 - Piedmont:
 - 20% Mean Daily Flow (MDF): July November
 - 30% MDF: May, June, December
 - 40% MDF: January April
- Minimum Instream Flow defined as the 20-30-40 MDF in Surface Water Withdrawal, Permitting, Use and Reporting Act (applies statewide).

Performance Measures Example



Plot is for illustrative purposes only!

Strategic Nodes

- Definition: a location on a surface water body or aquifer designated to evaluate the cumulative impacts of water management strategies for a given model scenario and serves as a primary point of interest from which to evaluate a model scenario's Performance Measures.
- Designated by RBC and designed to facilitate analyses.
- Examples:
 - USGS streamflow gage locations.
 - Outlets of tributaries of interest.



Surface Water-Demand Scenarios



Surface Water-Demand Scenarios

- Planning Framework requires 4 scenarios to be reviewed by each RBC:
 - 1. Current Surface Water Use.
 - 2. Permitted and Registered Water Use Scenario.
 - 3. Moderate Water-Demand Projection.
 - 4. High Water-Demand Projection.
- Optional scenario simulation of unimpaired surface water hydrology.
- Scenarios focus on "water-demand" side as opposed to "water- supply" side.
- RBC can recommend additional water-demand scenarios:
 - Based on different assumptions used in existing projections (more aggressive growth rates, for example).
 - New water-demand projection scenarios must be submitted to SCDNR in writing by the RBC for consideration.

Current Surface Water Use Scenario

- Demand based on "current" water use defined as recent 10-year average (2010-2019) of reported water use.
- Simulates Surface Water Supply and Shortages resulting from a repeat of the historic drought of record under current withdrawals.
- Shortages would highlight the need for short-term planning.



Permitted and Registered Water Use Scenario

- Water demand based on maximum legally allowable water use for surface water permits and registrations.
- Identifies shortages that would occur under a repeat of the drought of record under maximum legally allowable withdrawals.
- Addresses whether surface water source is currently overallocated.
- Surface Water Supply estimated under this scenario denotes unallocated available water.

Water-Demand Projection Scenarios

- Provide information on when and where shortages are likely to occur.
 - 50-year Planning Horizon.
 - Simulations completed in 5- to 10-year intervals.
- Two Scenarios:
 - Moderate Water-Demand Projection Scenario demand based on projection of water use assuming normal climate and moderate population and economic growth.
 - High Water-Demand Projection Scenario demand based on projection of water use assuming drier conditions and high population and economic growth.
- High Water-Demand Scenario Planning Scenario:
 - Set of water use data for the Planning Horizon used to develop management strategies.
 - Defines Surface Water Supply when no Surface Water Shortages are identified.
 - RBC must consider shortages under this scenario when developing Surface Water Management Strategies.

Process for Evaluating Surface Water Availability

- With the support CDM Smith (SW Technical Support Contractor), RBC will designate:
 - Surface Water Conditions, if any
 - Performance Measures
 - Strategic Nodes
- For each future water use scenario, run the SWAM model with support from CDM Smith to:
 - Determine Surface Water Supply at nodes of interest and major reservoirs
 - Identify Surface Water Shortages
 - Designate Reaches of Interest, if any
- Develop Surface Water Management Strategies and use the SWAM model to evaluate each strategy or combination of strategies.
 - Surface Water Management Strategy any water management strategy proposed to eliminate a Surface Water Shortage, reduce a Surface Water Shortage, or generally increase Surface Water Supply.
 - Examples: conservation measures, new supplies, conjunctive use etc.
 - Effectiveness and feasibility of each strategy will be evaluated.

River Basin Plan will document Surface Water Supply, Shortages, Reaches of Interest, and recommended Surface Water Management Strategies.

Summary

- Reviewed key terms and definitions associated with surface water availability analyses:
 - Physically Available Surface Water Supply
 - Surface Water Condition
 - Surface Water Supply
 - Surface Water Shortage
 - Reaches of Interest
- As part of water availability analysis, RBCs will need to determine:
 - Surface Water Conditions, if any
 - Performance Measures
 - Locations of Strategic Nodes
 - Identify shortages, quantify surface water supply, and designate reaches of interest
- Four future water use scenarios will be evaluated by the RBC:
 - Current Water Use
 - Permitted and Registered Water Use
 - Moderate Water Demand Projection
 - High Water Demand Projection

Questions? Scott Harder harders@dnr.sc.gov





Introduction to the Saluda River Basin Surface Water Quantity Model John Boyer

Agenda Item 6

What is a Model?

A **numerical model** is a representation of a real-world system that can be solved with computation methods

Numerical models allow us to explore and consider **possible futures**

Models should be as **simple** as possible and as **complex** as needed.

"All models are wrong, some are useful" George Box, 1976 British Statistician

Box's point was that we should focus more on whether something can be applied in a useful manner rather than debating endlessly if an answer is correct in all cases

Saluda River Basin Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling is:

- Water balance calculations of physical flow
- Water rights calculations of legally available flow
- Accounting of water demands, withdrawals, and return flows
- Accounting of reservoir storage and loss to evaporation
- A representation of stream networks, multiple "nodes"
- Data intensive



Saluda River Basin Surface Water Model Overview

Water Allocation Modeling *is not*:

- Rainfall-runoff calculations
- Hydrologic routing calculations
- Groundwater hydrology modeling
- Water quality modeling



Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM)

- Developed as a desktop tool to facilitate regional and statewide water planning and allocation
- SWAM calculates physically and legally available water, diversions, storage, consumption and return flows at user-defined nodes
- From 2014 to 2017, all eight South Carolina surface water quantity models were built in the SWAM platform
- Model updates were performed in 2021and further updates are being completed now



In Support of Saluda River Basin Planning, the Model Will be Used to:

- Assess current supply availability and shortages across a range of hydrologic conditions (1925 through 2019 – 94 years)
- Assess a range of future potential scenarios with respect to changes in water demand
- Assess potential impacts of a "full allocation" scenario
- Compare managed flows to natural flows
- Evaluate drought management plans
- Test, evaluate and help prioritize water management strategies



Model Inputs and Supporting Information

Model Inputs

- USGS daily flow records
- Historical operational data
 - Withdrawals (municipal, industrial, thermoelectric, agricultural, golf courses, hatcheries)
 - Wastewater discharges and return flows
 - Transfers in and out of the basin
- Reservoir characteristics and operating rules

Supporting Information

- Subbasin characteristics
 - Drainage area, land use, and slope





USGS Gage Timeline – Saluda River Basin















SWAM Calculations: Supply

- Physically available flow is a function of:
 - upstream tributary inflows,
 - reach gains and losses,
 - upstream diversions, withdrawals, returns, and storage

Tributary \times **Tributary Name:** Delete Headwater Tributary Flows North Saluda River Confluence Confluence Stream: Location Mainstem 19 (mi) Spatial Flow Changes Subbasin Flow Factors (unitless) 3 23 end mile 3 13.1 factor: Temporally Variable Factors Upstream of N. Saluda Reservoir; UIF ID = SLD200 Subbasin factor, ab reservoir, adjusted to achieve lake calibration. Close Save WS: Export to Greenville Broad North Saluda River Mainstem (Middle Saluda River) GC: Cliffs Club North Saluda Reservoir IR: Beechwood

North Saluda River Headwater Flows

Year	Month	Monthly			
(YYYY)	(MMM)	Flow (CFS)			
1925	Aug	3.0			
1925	Sep	3.6			
1925	Oct	5.2			
1925	Nov	11.3			
1925	Dec	9.1			
1926	Jan	23.0			
1926	Feb	35.4			
1926	Mar	24.9			
1926	Apr	24.5			
1926	May	6.5			
1926	Jun	5.3			
1926	Jul	10.7			
1926	Aug	11.7			
1926	Sep	7.1			
1926	Oct	5.9			
1926	Nov	10.7			
1926	Dec	16.4			

SWAM Calculations: Supply

- Legally available flow is a function of:
 - Permit limits / water rights
 - Storage rights
 - Minimum Instream flow requirements
 - Downstream priority water uses



WS: Greenville



SWAM Calculations: Demand

• WS: User Object:

- Node based withdrawals and returns
- Municipal water demands (prescribed monthly mean)

Water User Main Water Usage Source Water Return Flows Monthly User Distribution Annual Baseline Usage Input Format • Manual Total Use • monthly means Distribute O M&I • timeseries (MGY) • Agriculture Monthly Baseline Usage Month Monthly % Indoor % CU % CU Outdoor Usage Use Indoor Jan 7.86 100 61.25 0 Feb 7.22 100 0 59.59 Mar 7.25 100 62.04 0 Apr 7.99 100 65.74 0 May 100 0 9.41 72.5 Jun 10.03 100 74.2 0 Jul 0 10.27 100 75.28 Aug 9.77 100 73.58 0 Sep 9.56 100 74.92 0 0ct 100 0 8.89 72.99 Nov 100 7.93 66.93 0 Dec 7.48 100 60.33 0 (MGD) Close Save

WS: Easley

Х

WS: Easley

SWAM Calculations: Reservoirs

- Reservoir Object:
 - Dynamic water balance, water supply pool, customized operating rules



servoir Operations Flood Control Outflow Receiving Stream: C Simple fainstem Image: Advanced Release Location 169 169 Image: Advanced Image: Advanced 0 0 0 100 0 100 0 100 0
All Users Specified User (CFS)
y y

SWAM Calculations: Reservoirs

• Reservoir Object:

 Example operating rule: Lake Murray Normal Operating Storage Curve



Minimum Storage Instrear	n Releases Curve n Flow	Maximum Release 1000000 (CFS)				Normal Operating Storage Curve (Guide Curve). (562515 = 354 ft; 591648 = 356 ft; 622104 = 358 ft.)					
ule Details - Moving A	verages	Composite	e Metrics	Ramping	Periods	Movi	ng Triggers	⊡ s	tart of Timestep S	orage Condit	ions
Start Date	End Date	Target	Condition	Туре	Conditional Object 1:	(Criteria1:	Cond. 1:	Conditional Object 2:	Criteria2:	Cond. 2:
01/01	02/28	622104	None	•		-	•		•	-	
03/01	08/31	622104	None	-		-	-		-	-	
09/01	11/30	591648	None	-		-	-		-	-	
12/01	12/31	562515	None	•		-	-		-	-	
				•		-	-		-	-	
				•		-	-		-		
				-		-	_		•		
				-		-	_		-		
				-		-			-		
				-		-			-		
				•		-			-		
				-		-	▼		-	<u>▼</u>	
(CFS or MG)				(CFS or MG)				(CFS or MG		
									Save		lose

Model Calibration

- Calibration performed for multiple sites across a wide range of hydrologic conditions
- Key calibration parameters = reach gain/loss factors (hydrology)



Comparison of Monthly Gaged and Modeled Flows



Comparison of Daily Gaged and Modeled Flows





Comparison of Mean Monthly Gaged and Modeled Flows


Comparison of Gaged and Modeled Flow Percentiles

Daily



73

Comparison of Measured and Modeled Lake Levels



2021 Surface Water Model Updates

- Extended baseline hydrology through 2019 (added 6 years)
- Updated monthly mean water demands based on recent water use data
- Updated permit and intake location information
- Removed inactive permittees
- Added new registrations
- Software updates



Model Limitations

- Greater uncertainty in predictions for ungaged reaches compared to gaged
- Model not designed for reach routing of flow changes at a sub-daily timestep
- Greater uncertainty in supply availability (and "shortage") predictions associated with small stream withdrawals compared to larger river and reservoir withdrawals
 - e.g. offline irrigation ponds
- Baseline model assumes past hydrologic variability is representative of future hydrologic variability (stationary climate)



Surface Water Scenarios

Base Scenarios

- Current Surface Water Use Scenario
 - Uses most recent 10-yr average withdrawals (as reported by month)
- Permitted and Registered Surface Water Use Scenario
 - Uses current fully-permitted and registered amounts
- Moderate Water Demand Projection Scenario
 - Future water demand projection based on moderate growth and normal climate
- High Water Demand Projection Scenario
 - Future water demand projection based on high growth and hot/dry climate

Additional scenarios may be identified and requested by the RBC

Evaluating Projected Demands (Example)



Performance Measures

Assessment of simulation results will focus on quantifying key performance measures for strategic nodes and reaches of interest across the basin.

Example / Suggestions:

- Percent change in a monthly minimum flow, 5th percentile flow, mean, and/or median flow
- Percent change in seasonal or monthly flows
- Percent change in surface water supply
- Percent change in mean annual shortage or mean percent shortage
- Change in the number and magnitude of excursions below 20, 30 and 40 percent mean annual daily flows and/or 7Q10 flow
- Change in number of water users experience a shortage
- Change in the average frequency of shortage
- Percent of time recreational facilities were unavailable on a stream reach



Reaches of Interest

Specific stream reaches that may have no identified *Surface Water Shortage* but experience undesired impacts, environmental or otherwise, determined from current or future water-demand scenarios or proposed water management strategies.

Could be related to:

- Recreational flows
- Ecological / in-stream flows
- Designation as a Scenic River
- Listing on the Integrated Report as a Category 4C water (e.g., the 14–mile section of the Saluda River downstream of the Saluda Lake Dam)

Saluda Water Quantity Model Training

- Training for interested RBC members will occur on Tuesday, October 3, beginning at 10 a.m. in Columbia (Wells Fargo Building, 1441 Main Street)
- We will provide:
 - a laptop with the Saluda model pre-loaded
 - Iunch
 - exercises to work through

Surface Water Model Access

- Available for download at: <u>http://hydrology.dnr.sc.gov/surface-water-models.html</u>
- Also available for download:
 - SWAM User's Manual
 - Model reports for each basin
 - Supplementary technical memoranda



Overview

Effective water planning and management requires an accurate assessment of the State's surface water resources. To that end, the SCDNR has supported the development of surface-water quantity models that simulate the surface water system for each of the eight major river basins in South Carolina. The modeling platform is the Simplified Water Allocation Model (SWAM), developed by CDM Smith, Inc. These models will be used to evaluate current and future water availability and will support the development of state and regional water plans. Use the links below to access modeling reports and other documentation for each basin's SWAM model and to learn more about how the SWAM models were developed. Surface Water Models SCDNR has publicly released the Simplified Water Allocation Models (SWAM) for the Edisto, Saluda, and Salkehatchie river basins.

ownload SWAM Model



Demand Projections Update Alex Pellett, SCDNR

Agenda Item 7



Upcoming Meeting Schedule, Field Trip, and Topics

Agenda Item 8

Saluda RBC Meeting #7

Wed, August 20, 2023 – Dominion Energy Facility, Lake Murray

Informational Topic (Tentative)

- SWAM Model Results, Current Use, P&R and UIF Scenarios
- Recommendations for Flow-Ecology Relationships
- Field Trip to Lake Murray Dam and Saluda Hydro Facility

Speaker

CDM Smith

Drs. Luke Bower, Joe Mruzek, Brandon Peoples

Informational topics and speakers are tentative

Discussion and Other Items

• Look out for Phase 1 Survey which will include an invitation to RSVP for SWAM Training on October 3



Directions to Lake Greenwood Water **Treatment Facility** from The Ridge at Laurens

21 min (17.5 miles) via US-221 S/US Hwy 221 S 21 min without traffic

1 < 0

Laurens

South Carolina 29360

+ Head southeast on Exchange Rd

0.5 m

† Continue onto SC-127 W

3.6 mi -

13.4mi

Turn left onto US-221 S/US Hwy 221 S O Destination will be on the left.

LCWSC's Lake Greenwood Water Treatment Facility 15865 Highway 221 South Waterloo, SC 29384

Plant Phone # 864-677-2722 K.C.'s Phone 864-981-1174





